

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,426		02/08/2001	Daniel L. Roth	10663-013001	5617	
26161	7590	08/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
2 2022 00 2		DSON PC	VO, HUYEN X			
P.O. BOX MINNEAR		MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
<u> </u>	,			2626		
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/779,426	ROTH ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Huyen X. Vo	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states.). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee.) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension	nsion fee have n fee under 37				
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	n, even if timely filed, ma	y reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	had anima to the state of Clause to						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)) :						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	it or other evidence is	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		HEMOND DORVIL DRY PATENT EXAM	IINER/				

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Curry et al. teach a speech recognition system for recognizing speech command when speech is detected. Input speech command is compared against speech models stored in the speech recognition system to determine a recognition result (col. 4, lines 25-67, speech recognition models can be user-specific speech models or normal speech models).